

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

FILE NO.

REPORT MADE AT BOSTON	DATE WHEN MADE 8/10/54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8/5,6,9/54	REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] b7c
TITLE ERIC ARTHUR WALKER			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Appointee attended Harvard Engineering School 1928 - 1934, receiving S.B. Degree in 1932, S. M. Degree in 1933 and S.D. Degree in 1935. Records favorable, showed that he was on scholarship throughout. Described by references and acquaintances as loyal, efficient, and of good character and reputation. One acquaintance feels that WALKER has shown lack of personal loyalty to superiors. No credit or criminal record.

- RUC -

REFERENCE:

Bureau teletype dated August 3, 1954.

DETAILS:

Investigation at Worcester, Massachusetts was conducted by Special Agent [REDACTED] at Lynnfield, Massachusetts by Special Agent [REDACTED]; in Maine by Special Agent [REDACTED] and credit and criminal checks at Boston, Massachusetts were conducted at the request of RCO [REDACTED] **b7c**

EDUCATION

Mrs. [REDACTED] Harvard School of Engineering, Cambridge, Massachusetts, provided records showing that

APPROVED AND FORWARDED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT (2) Bureau 1 Boston (77-6723)		77-64271-15
		NOT RECORDED 8 AUG 12 1954 <i>[Signature]</i>

BS 77-6723

1928
appointee entered the school as an undergraduate in September, 1928 from the Brightsville, Pennsylvania High School and attended until 1934. His record reflects that he received his S.B. Degree in Electrical Engineering Cum Laude in 1932; his S.M. Degree in 1933, and was awarded a S.D. Degree in 1935. The record also shows that he was born April 29, 1910 at Long Eaton, England and that throughout his attendance at Harvard he was on scholarship.

Appointee's personal folder was examined and found to contain only favorable information.

REFERENCES

[REDACTED] Guaranty Bank and Trust Company, 396 Main Street, Worcester, Massachusetts, stated that he has known appointee since both were instructors at Tufts College in [REDACTED]. He stated they have been close friends since that time and recommended the appointee highly as to character, loyalty, reputation, and associations. He recommended him without reservation for a position of trust with the government.

[REDACTED] Massachusetts, Engineer, Measurement and Instruction Division, General Electric Company, West Lynn, Massachusetts, stated that he has known WALKER since both were in attendance at the Harvard School of Engineering about [REDACTED]. He stated that during their attendance at Harvard their association was close but that in the years since it has consisted of casual meetings. [REDACTED] stated that from his association with WALKER he would have no hesitancy in recommending him for a position of trust and confidence. He described him as professionally brilliant possessing excellent judgment and as a person of unquestioned integrity who has at no time given any indication to subscribing to any un-American principles. [REDACTED] pointed out that during the war years appointee handled without criticism numerous assignments involving access to confidential information of the highest type.

Professor [REDACTED] Harvard School of Engineering, was interviewed at Isle of Spring, Boothbay Harbor, Maine, advised that he has been acquainted with appointee since

BS 77-6723

approximately [REDACTED] when he served as appointee's instructor and advisor at Harvard University. He stated that the appointee prepared his Doctorate thesis under his direction. Since that time he states he has maintained a close acquaintance with the appointee and recommends him without reservation as far as character, loyalty, and associations are concerned.

ACQUAINTANCES

[REDACTED] New England Power and Light Company, 441 Stuart Street, Boston, Massachusetts, stated that he would describe appointee as an eager worker, 100 per cent American, and ambitious. He stated that the appointee had worked directly under him when he, [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] of the Pentagon and that this connection had lasted for over a year. During this period Mr. [REDACTED] stated because of security demands it became necessary to limit to a certain extent the free activity of the scientists working for the Research and Development Board. WALKER he stated as a scientist resented this limitation which was an administrative and organizational one and took every occasion to continue his fight in his contacts with people working for the Research and Development Board. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that it is his feeling that WALKER should have accepted the decision of the superiors and created no disturbance. It became known [REDACTED] stated that WALKER intended to leave the Research and Development Board but he stated that WALKER's method of leaving was to take off on a speaking tour which was official business to the mid-west and without notice to his superiors to continue to California where he had accepted a summer position. [REDACTED] pointed out that this left him and others on the Research and Development Board in a very embarrassing position and he feels that this represents a certain disloyalty to his superiors. He was careful to say that he did not believe that this reflected on WALKER's loyalty to the United States and he was certain that WALKER would not divulge classified information to any but properly "cleared" people.

Mr. [REDACTED] stated that barring the two above incidents he knows of nothing against WALKER's loyalty, character, or reputation. He pointed out that WALKER is a professionally brilliant man and is presently Dean of the Engineering School at Pennsylvania State University.

b7C
b7D

BS 77-6723

Mr. [REDACTED] New England Power Company, 441 Stuart Street, Boston Massachusetts, stated that from [REDACTED] he worked under appointee as [REDACTED] of the Committee on Ordnance of the Research and Development Board. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that at all times WALKER seemed to be loyal, discreet, reliable, and efficiency. He stated that he did not know appointee socially to any extent but that he had been a visitor at WALKER's home. He stated that to the best of his knowledge WALKER was completely reliable as far as reputation and associations were concerned.

CREDIT

Personnel of the Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, Inc., 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised their files contained no record for the appointee.

CRIMINAL

Personnel of the Massachusetts Board of Probation, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all criminal conviction records in the state of Massachusetts, advised their files contained no record for the appointee.

- R U C -

b7C
b7D

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

b7c

REPORT MADE AT ST. LOUIS	DATE WHEN MADE 8-10-54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8-9-54	REPORT MADE BY SE [REDACTED] MVS
TITLE ERIC ARTHUR WALKER		CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY	

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

No record located for ERIC ARTHUR WALKER at FRC, GSA, SLMO.

- RUC -

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to St. Louis dated 8-3-54.

DETAILS: AT ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

Personnel of the Federal Records Center, General Services Administration, St. Louis, Missouri, advised that no record of Department of Defense employment for ERIC ARTHUR WALKER could be located.

- RUC -

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">77-64271-16</div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> NOT RECORDED 24 AUG 1954 </div>
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 2 - Bureau (AMSD) 1 - St. Louis (77-15581) - 8-1	[Empty space for additional notes or stamps]

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS REPORT IS LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI, AND NEITHER IT NOR ITS CONTENTS ARE TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE THE AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

BUREAU

REPORT MADE AT BALTIMORE	DATE WHEN MADE 8/10/54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8/6, 9/54	REPORT MADE BY <div style="background-color: black; color: white; padding: 2px;">[REDACTED]</div> b7c /j								
TITLE <p style="text-align: center;">ERIC ARTHUR WALKER</p>			CHARACTER OF CASE <p style="text-align: center;">SPECIAL INQUIRY</p>								
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.5em; margin-top: 10px;">ba</div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">Files of [REDACTED] were reviewed without locating any derogatory information concerning the captioned individual.</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">- R U C -</p> <p>REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to Baltimore dated 8/3/54.</p> <p>DETAILS: <u>AT BALTIMORE, MARYLAND</u></p> <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.5em; margin-top: 10px;">ba</div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">The files of Baltimore Confidential Informant [REDACTED], another government agency conducting personnel and intelligence investigations, reflect that the captioned individual was the subject of a partial background investigation completed on 12/21/48 during which no information was developed reflecting adversely upon the character, reputation, or loyalty of this individual.</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">- R U C -</p>											
APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em; margin-top: 5px;">[Signature]</div>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em; margin-top: 5px;">[Signature]</div>	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; margin-top: 10px;">77-64271-17</div>									
COPIES OF THIS REPORT <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 5px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%; padding: 2px;">2-Bureau</td> <td style="width: 20%; padding: 2px;">11 21 54</td> <td style="width: 20%; padding: 2px;">11 21 54</td> <td style="width: 20%; padding: 2px;">11 21 54</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">1-Baltimore (77-9715)</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">11 21 54</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">11 21 54</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">11 21 54</td> </tr> </table>				2-Bureau	11 21 54	11 21 54	11 21 54	1-Baltimore (77-9715)	11 21 54	11 21 54	11 21 54
2-Bureau	11 21 54	11 21 54	11 21 54								
1-Baltimore (77-9715)	11 21 54	11 21 54	11 21 54								

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

BA 77-9715

60

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

is G-2, Central Records Facility, Fort Holabird, Baltimore, Maryland, the files of which were made available to SA [REDACTED] by the commanding officer of the Facility.

b7c

The information contained in the attached report was obtained from consolidated G-2 files bearing dossier number X1-486685.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b7C

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

REPORT MADE AT CLEVELAND	DATE WHEN MADE 8-10-54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8-6,9-54	REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED] jma
TITLE ERIC ARTHUR WALKER		CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY	

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Miss [REDACTED] Mr. [REDACTED], Case Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio, advised [REDACTED] is presently on a motor trip in the West and left no forwarding address. She stated he should return to Cleveland on 8-24-54. Records Lorain County Courthouse, Elyria, Ohio, reflect applicant's father ARTHUR WALKER was naturalized under Certificate for Naturalization No. 600964, on 3-30-44, and applicant's mother VIOLET ELIZABETH WALKER naturalized under Certificate of Naturalization No. 6071250 dated 8-4-44. Credit Bureau, Elyria, Ohio, reflects applicant's father ARTHUR WALKER, 1616 Grafton Road, Elyria, Ohio, had a good credit rating. Criminal record for applicant's immediate family negative.

(b7C
b7D)

- P -

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to Cleveland dated August 3, 1954, and Bureau teletype to Cleveland dated August 5, 1954.

DETAILS: Investigation at Case Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio, conducted by SA [REDACTED] b7C

NATURALIZATION RECORDS

Miss [REDACTED] Mr. [REDACTED] Case Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio, advised that Mr. [REDACTED] was [REDACTED]

767C
b7D

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
<p style="text-align: center;">COPIES OF THIS REPORT</p> <p>2 - Bureau (AM)</p> <p>1 - Cleveland (77-3176)</p>		<p style="font-size: 1.5em;">77-6427-18</p>

presently on a motor trip in the West, and left no forwarding address. She revealed that Mr. [REDACTED] will return to Cleveland on August 24, 1954.

[REDACTED] Deputy Clerk, Lorain County Courthouse, Elyria, Ohio, advised that ARTHUR WALKER filed a Petition for Naturalization No. 7797, in which he indicated that he was born June 21, 1885, Nottingham, Notts. England; and that his sons ERIC ARTHUR, born April 29, 1910, [REDACTED] born [REDACTED] and ALAN ERNEST, November 12, 1914, were all born in England. Records indicated that ARTHUR WALKER entered the United States at Noyes, Minnesota, from Winnipeg, Canada, on September 4, 1923.

On March 30, 1944 Certificate of Naturalization No. 600964 was issued for ARTHUR WALKER. The records indicated that VIOLET ELIZABETH WALKER filed under Petition for Naturalization No. 8224 on which she indicated she was born April 17, 1886, Nottingham, England. The record indicated she immigrated to the United States from Rama, Canada, to International Falls, Minnesota, on June 27, 1923. Records further indicated that VIOLET ELIZABETH WALKER was issued Certificate of Naturalization No. 6071250, dated August 4, 1944.

CREDIT AND CRIMINAL

[REDACTED] Manager, Elyria, Ohio, Credit Bureau, stated that there had been no inquiries concerning the credit of ARTHUR WALKER, 1616 Grafton Road, Elyria, Ohio, since 1948. He stated the records reflected that ARTHUR WALKER had a good credit rating. The record further indicated that ARTHUR WALKER was employed by the Elyria Lace Company. [REDACTED] stated their records did not reflect a credit rating for [REDACTED]

Deputy Chief [REDACTED] Lorain County Sheriff's Office, Elyria, Ohio, stated their records fail to reflect a listing for the applicant or ARTHUR WALKER, VIOLET WALKER, or [REDACTED]

Captain [REDACTED] Elyria Police Department, stated that under arrest No. 5972 ARTHUR WALKER, 1616 Grafton Road, was arrested on March 23, 1946 for reckless driving. [REDACTED] stated the disposition of this arrest was that ARTHUR WALKER was fined \$5.00 and costs. [REDACTED] stated no arrest record existed in their files for [REDACTED] or VIOLET WALKER.

b7C
b7D

CV 77-3176

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

LEADS

CLEVELAND DIVISION

AT CLEVELAND, OHIO

Will upon the return from the West of [REDACTED] Case
Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio, interview him concerning the
applicant.

b7c

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Boardman
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Parsons
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tamm
Mr. Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Mr. Holloman
Miss Gandy

FBI WASH FIELD

DIRECTOR, FBI AND SACS PHILADELPHIA
MIAMI

1/10/54
SAN DIEGO 66-17742

RE: ARTHUR WALKER, SPI, BORNED ABOUT 1915. COMMERCIAL SOCIETY
ADVISED THAT IN NINETEEN FIFTY THREE MRS. [REDACTED]
FORMER [REDACTED] TO THE APPOINTEE AT THE
STATE CORRECTION, PA., AND LAST KNOWN TO RESIDE AT [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

THAT SHE HAS BEEN APPOINTEES [REDACTED] FIVE ABOVE [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] SHE FURNISHED SOME FAVORABLE INFORMATION NOT STATED THAT
APPOINTEE ALLOWED PERSONAL FRIENDS TO ENTER HER RESIDENCE
RELATIONSHIPS IS A QUOTE GREAT EXTENT UNKNOWN. SHE SAID THAT HE HAD
CONTACT LINE TO PUT HIM AWAY ABOUT THE CIVIL SERVICE. THERE WAS A

RECOR SHE SAID THAT ONE OF HIS [REDACTED] SONS [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
APPOINTEE HAD KNOWN AT THE [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] AND AN ASSISTANT NELSON BUTZ HAD ABOUT THE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] THAT THEY WERE TO BE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
AFTER THIS BECAME KNOWN, BUTZ WAS TRANSFERRED TO THE PHOENIX [REDACTED]
STATION AND THAT MISS [REDACTED] SUBSEQUENTLY MET HIM AND TOLD HIM SHE
WAS BREAKING THE ENGAGEMENT. BUTZ THEN CONSULTED [REDACTED] THE
APPOINTEE WENT DOWN TO THE STATION

INCL. 10
[Signature]
1066

77-49804

6-1177-64271-19
NOT RECORDED
OCT 26 1954

b7C
b7D

b7C

PAGE TWO

AND GOT THE LETTER WHICH [REDACTED] HAD WRITTEN BUTZ. [REDACTED] SAID THE SUICIDE WAS NOT GENERALLY KNOWN AT STATE COLLEGE BUT SHE HAD READ ABOUT IT IN A LETTER WRITTEN BY [REDACTED] STATION AND GAVE THE APPOINTEE THE FACTS. [REDACTED] STATED THAT SHE BELIEVED THE PURPOSE OF THE APPOINTEE GOING TO FLORIDA TO GET THE LETTER WAS TO KEEP [REDACTED] FROM LEARNING ABOUT THE SUICIDE. [REDACTED] DOUBTED THAT THERE WAS ANYTHING BETWEEN THEM. SHE ALSO ALLEGED THAT THE APPOINTEE TOOK SOME OF BUTZ'S PROPERTY WHICH HE HAD IN HIS POSSESSION. [REDACTED] HAS SINCE MARRIED [REDACTED] ATTORNEY, STATE COLLEGE. ACCORDING TO [REDACTED] APPOINTEE HESITED TO HAVE HAD AN AFFAIR WITH [REDACTED] WHO CAME TO STATE COLLEGE [REDACTED] TO BE THE APPOINTEE'S [REDACTED] WAS SINCE MARRIED AND REFERRED TO [REDACTED] NINE HER HUSBAND, NAME UNKNOWN. [REDACTED] SERVICE, STATE COLLEGE, PA. ADVISED CSC THAT IT HAD BEEN BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION THAT ONE OF WALKERS [REDACTED] HAD COMPLAINED THAT HE HAD BEEN QUOTE TRYING TO MAKE HER UNQUOTE. NO INSTITUTIONS INFO FURNISHED BY [REDACTED] WHO SAID THAT [REDACTED] OF EMPLOYMENT DIVISION, PENNSYLVANIA STATE COLLEGE WOULD KNOW THE PARTICULARS. [REDACTED] ADVISED CSC A MRS. [REDACTED] WHOSE LAST KNOWN ADDRESS WAS CARE OF [REDACTED]

b7c
b7D

PAGE THREE

[REDACTED] HAD TOLD HIM THAT WALKER HAD CHASED HER
AROUND THE DESK. MR. [REDACTED] PHW
STATE ADVISED CSC THAT HE QUESTIONED WALKER'S MORAL HONESTY, CITING
A SITUATION WHERE WALKER TOLD TWO DIFFERENT THINGS TO AN ONY INVESTIGATOR
AND THE NAVY DEPT. IN WASHINGTON WHICH WAS CONTRARY TO WHAT HE HAD
TOLD THE INVESTIGATOR. [REDACTED] ADVISED HE HAD A GIRL IN HIS OFFICE,
MRS. [REDACTED] WHO MENTIONED SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES TO HIM.
CSC INVESTIGATOR UNABLE TO LOCATE MRS. [REDACTED] OR HER HUSBAND [REDACTED]
IN PHILADELPHIA. PHILADELPHIA WILL AT STATE COLLEGE DISCREETLY INTERVIEW
[REDACTED] RE ALLEGATIONS MADE BY THEM
TO CSC. PH WILL ALSO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW MRS. [REDACTED] AT [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] AND CONDUCT ANY FURTHER INQUIRY TO RESOLVE THE ALLEGATIONS.
MIAMI WILL AT FT. LAUDERDALE INTERVIEW [REDACTED]
STATION RE APPOINTEE'S ALLEGED ACTIONS IN THE MATTER OF BUTES ALLEGED
SUICIDE. WILL NOTE THAT APPOINTEE WAS EXECUTIVE SECRETARY RESEARCH
AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD, DEPT OF DEFENSE. WFO WILL SUBMIT REPORT TO
REACH BUREAU BY AUGUST THIRTEEN NEXT, DUE TO THE ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION

b7C
b7D

PAGE FOUR

7-10-68
D792

NECESSARY TO THE CSO INFORMATION. WFO WILL CARRY CSO AS
[REDACTED]

076
079
079

LABOR

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

b7C

REPORT MADE AT NEWARK	DATE WHEN MADE 8/11/54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8/5,10/54	REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] <i>bfs/mr</i>
TITLE ERIC ARTHUR WALKER		CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY	

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

No credit or police record located for [REDACTED]

b7C

-RUC-

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to Newark, dated 8/3/54.

DETAILS:

CREDIT AND POLICE

b7C

The following credit bureau had no record regarding [REDACTED] brother of ERIC ARTHUR WALKER:

The Credit Bureau of Ocean and Monmouth Counties, 733 Mattison Ave., Asbury Park, N.J., which covers Seaside Heights, N.J., reviewed by Mrs. [REDACTED] Clerk.

b7C, b7D

The following police department had no record regarding WALKER:

Seaside Heights, N.J. Police Department, reviewed by Chief JOSEPH MC DEVIT.

-RUC-

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 2 - Bureau 1 - Newark (77-5098)		<p style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">77-64271-21</p> <p>RECORDED</p> <p>INDEXED</p> <p>SEP 1 1954</p>

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

REPORT MADE AT CINCINNATI, OHIO	DATE WHEN MADE 8-12-54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8-6-54	REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] b7C CIMW
TITLE ERIC ARTHUR WALKER			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Professor [REDACTED] Granville, Ohio, interviewed and reported that he recalls hearing from an unknown source while employed at [REDACTED] 1942 or 1943 that appointee was contributing money to an organization believed to be assisting Spanish refugees. Name of organization unknown. [REDACTED] reported favorably on appointee's character, associates and reputation.

- R U C -

REFERENCE: WFO teletype to Cincinnati, 8-5-54.

DETAILS: AT GRANVILLE, OHIO

Professor [REDACTED] reported that he was acquainted with the appointee during the years through [REDACTED] while both he and the appointee were employed for the [REDACTED]. Professor [REDACTED] advised that sometime during the year [REDACTED] a casual remark was made by a fellow employee, whose name he cannot recall, to the effect that the appointee was contributing money to an organization which was reportedly assisting Spanish refugees. He stated that he does not recall the name of the organization and cannot remember whether or not he actually had ever known the name of this organization. He reported that he somehow got the idea that the organization in question was subversive, but can't recall now how this idea originated in his mind.

b7C
b7D

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
[REDACTED]		77-64271-22
COPIES OF THIS REPORT: 3 Bureau (AMSD) 1 Cincinnati (77-3208)		NOT RECORDED 12 AUG 16 1954 [Signature]

CI 77-3208

He concluded by saying that he never heard the appointee make any statements which would indicate that he was other than a loyal person and further that he can recall no reason to question or doubt the appointee's character, reputation, ability or associates.

- R U C -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

I

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT BUREAU

b7C

REPORT MADE AT WASHINGTON, D. C.	DATE WHEN MADE 8/13/54	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8/5, 6, 9, 10, 11/54	REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] gls
TITLE ERIC ARTHUR WALKER			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Appointee's 201 file maintained in Personnel Office, Office of Secretary of Defense, reflected appointee's employment with Research and Development Board, Committee on Electronics. Correspondence in appointee's security file, Office of Secretary of Defense, reflected a letter dated 9/22/50 written by appointee to Professor [REDACTED] Granville, Ohio, regarding statements allegedly made by [REDACTED] concerning appointee's loyalty and requesting that a security officer be sent to interview [REDACTED]. The file reflected [REDACTED] reply dated 9/25/50 stating that the alleged derogatory information regarding appointee's loyalty and associates was a rumor and he could not substantiate it by facts. Appointee's associates in the Defense Department furnished no unfavorable information and recommended appointee. Neighborhood favorable. The files of [REDACTED] reflect appointee investigated in 1952 and unsubstantial allegations regarding morals and integrity raised by [REDACTED] information made available to National Science Foundation and appointee given security clearance by three-man board. Background data obtained from [REDACTED] files and set forth. ONI reflected favorable investigation, except for information from [REDACTED] investigation, which is set out in part. INS files reviewed and appointee became naturalized citizen 9/27/37 at Boston, Massachusetts; date and place of birth verified. State Passport files reflect passport number 521284 issued 4/28/38 to appointee, accompanied by his wife, for

b7C
b7D

b2
b7D

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 2 - Bureau 1 - Washington Field (77-49304)		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">77-64271-23</div> <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">[Handwritten Signature]</div>

WFO 77-49804

an intended visit to England, France, Germany, Czechoslovakia, Denmark and Norway for pleasure; and passport number 143251 was issued to appointee on 10/10/46 for a proposed trip of one month, or less, to England for an official purpose in connection with the Joint Chief's of Staff project "Paper Clip". HCUA, State Department Security System, Metropolitan Police Department, and Washington, D. C. Credit Bureau records negative regarding appointee and his family. Files of U.S. Park Police also negative regarding appointee and his family.

- P -

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to Washington dated August 3, 1954.

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

EMPLOYMENT

The appointee's 201 file maintained in the Personnel Office, Office of Secretary of Defense, made available to SA [REDACTED], reflected the following pertinent information:

On April 22, 1949, the appointee received an accepted appointment not to exceed June 30, 1949, as an expert on a when actually employed basis in the Research and Development Board, Committee on Electronics, Washington D. C. This appointment was renewed up to August 1, 1950, when the appointee was designated as executive secretary of the Research and Development Board on a full-time basis. He resigned from the position on July 12, 1951, and was reappointed as an expert on a when actually employed basis in the Research and Development Board on July 16, 1951. This appointment was terminated on June 31, 1954, and there was no derogatory or additional pertinent information reflected in the file.

The appointee's security file was made available to SA [REDACTED] and it was noted that it contained therein a letter dated September 22, 1950, from the appointee to Professor [REDACTED] Granville, Ohio, in which the [REDACTED]

appointee expressed concern over the fact that it had been called to his attention that [redacted] had expressed doubts concerning his, the appointee's, loyalty. According to the letter, [redacted] was supposed to have said that he believed that on the appointee's trips to Europe he had made contact with foreigners for purposes which are not to the United States' best interests. The appointee stated in his letter that he had been puzzled over this matter and wished to have a military security officer visit [redacted] in order to resolve the question.

In reply to this letter, [redacted] wrote a letter dated September 25, 1950, which was also contained in the appointee's security file. [redacted] stated that the issue had grown from "a molehill into a sand dune." [redacted] explained that he, in 1942 while with the [redacted] dimly recalled hearing a rumor that the appointee's name had been associated with "some alleged Red-sponsored organization." [redacted] stated further that he did not know what prompted this rumor and indicated that he did not have any facts which he could furnish to a security officer concerning this matter.

There was no additional pertinent information in this file.

Mrs. [redacted] Office of the Secretary of Defense (Research and Development Board), related she had been employed under the appointee's [redacted] during the time he was the executive secretary. Mrs. [redacted] commented very favorably regarding appointee's loyalty, integrity and ability and indicated that she was aware of absolutely no derogatory information concerning him. She said that she did not know the appointee socially and knew nothing of his private life. She stated further that she would recommend the appointee for a position of trust with the government.

Mrs. [redacted] gave the following list of former associates of the appointee:

[redacted], New England Power and Light Company, Boston, Massachusetts.

[redacted] Case Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio.

b7c
b7D

b7c
b7D

b7c
[Handwritten signature/initials]

Dr. [redacted] National Academy of Sciences,
Washington, D. C.

Dr. [redacted] Associated Universities,
Incorporated, 350 5th Avenue, New York, New
York.

Inquiry at Dr. [redacted] office, 2101
Constitution Avenue, Washington, D. C., reflected that Dr.
[redacted] was presently vacationing at East Otis Farm, Aurora,
Cayuga County, New York.

The Investigative Files of [redacted] another governmental
agency conducting security investigations, reflected that the
appointee was investigated in 1952 for a position with the
National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C.

Mr. [redacted] for the
National Science Foundation, advised the writer that his records
reflected reports for an investigation conducted by [redacted] in 1952.
Mr. [redacted] stated that in view of the information which might
be considered derogatory and which was contained in the files
of [redacted] the appointee's case was reviewed by a three-man board
made up of [redacted] of
the National Science Foundation, [redacted]
[redacted] for Scientific Personnel and Education Division,
National Science Foundation, and [redacted] for
Governmental Research, National Science Foundation, under case
number forty-six. On April 1, 1953, the board found that
after "consideration of all the information available to it
finds that the common defense and security will not be endangered
by permitting the subject to have access to information and
property with respect to which access restrictions have been
established by the Foundation ---". The panel "recommends that
security clearance be granted" to the appointee.

Mr. [redacted] advised that the appointee had been as-
signed to the Engineering Scientific Panel of the National
Science Foundation and that he had been used as a consultant in
that capacity. Mr. [redacted] added that the operation of the
Foundation was such that the employees had very little personal
contact with each other when they were assigned to such panels

and, therefore, he doubted if anyone presently available at the Foundation would have had sufficient personal contact with the appointee to make any statements regarding his character, reputation, loyalty, associates, integrity, and morals.

NEIGHBORHOOD

Vicinity of 4602 North 15th Street, Arlington, Virginia

b7C, b7D

b7C SA [redacted] Mr. [redacted] advised that he had been a resident of the neighborhood for thirty years and had no independent recollection of the appointee or his family.

b7C, b7D

b7C SA [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] advised that she faintly recalled the appointee and his wife as residing at 4602 North 15th Street but had no independent recollection of them. She stated she had never heard any unfavorable information concerning the appointee's character, reputation, loyalty, or associates.

b7C, b7D

b7C SA [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] advised that the appointee and his two children resided at 4602 North 15th Street in [redacted] and [redacted]. Mrs. [redacted] stated that she [redacted] from the appointee as an agent for the owner. Appointee was known to Mrs. [redacted] to work at the Pentagon and every morning an Army sedan came and picked him up. Mrs. [redacted] knew of no trouble that the appointee was ever in and stated that he and his family enjoyed a good reputation in the neighborhood. Appointee was described as a scientist who led a mild, conservative social life. Mrs. [redacted] stated that "everything I heard about him was above reproach" and he was considered the "pepper of the Physics Department at Penn State University." Mrs. [redacted] stated that the appointee paid his rent promptly and that she had never heard anything unfavorable concerning his moral character. The appointee never gave Mrs. [redacted] any reason to doubt his loyalty nor did she know of any unfavorable information concerning the appointee's character, reputation, loyalty or associates.

b7C, b7D

b7C, b7D

b7C SA [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] was interviewed by SA [redacted] and she furnished substantially the same information as did Mrs. [redacted]

b7C, b7D

MISCELLANEOUS *ba*

The files of [redacted] another governmental agency conducting security investigations, were reviewed by the writer and the following information was noted, that an applicant type investigation was conducted on the appointee by [redacted] in 1952. *ba*

The files reflected the following personal background data regarding the appointee:

The appointee was naturalized ~~September 27, 1937,~~ under Immigration and Naturalization Certificate Number 4327603 in Boston, Massachusetts.

He listed the following addresses as of 1952:

628 Fairway Road, State College, Pennsylvania.

519 Graville Place, La Jolla, California,
from July to October of 1951.

4602 North 15th Street, Arlington, Virginia,
1950 to 1951.

628 Fairway Road, State College, Pennsylvania,
1945 to 1950.

23 Morton Road, Arlington, Massachusetts,
from 1942 to 1945.

Eagleville Road, Storrs, Connecticut, 1939
to 1942.

16 Ardley Road, Winchester, Massachusetts,
1936 to 1939.

Appointee stated that he attended Wrightsville High School, Wrightsville, Pennsylvania, from 1924 to 1928, and Harvard University from 1928 to 1935.

Appointee listed the following employments:

- 1951 - 1953 Dean of Engineering,
Pennsylvania State College,
State College, Pennsylvania.
- 1945 - 1953 Director of Ordnance, Research
Laboratory, Pennsylvania State
College, State College,
Pennsylvania.
- 1945 - 1951 Department of Electrical Engi-
neering, Pennsylvania State
College, State College,
Pennsylvania.
- 1942 - 1945 Harvard Underwater Sound Labo-
ratory, Assistant Director,
Harvard University.
- 1941 - 1942 Consultant, Colt's Patent Fire
Arms, Hartford, Connecticut.
- 1940 - 1942 Professor of Electrical Engi-
neering, University of Connecticut,
Storrs, Connecticut.
- 1934 - 1939 Consultant, Doble Engineering
Company, Medford, Massachusetts.
- 1950 - 1951 Department of Defense, Research
and Development Board, Executive
Secretary.
- 1949 - 1953 National Research Counselor.

The appointee listed the following foreign travel:

Canada in 1939 for pleasure.

England, France, Germany, Austria, Denmark,
Sweden and Norway from June, 1938, to
September, 1938, for pleasure.

WFO 77-49804

England and France, October to November, 1946,
for business.

Bermuda in 1949 for business.

Port au Prince, Haiti and Puerto Rico,
December of 1950, for business.

The appointee listed the following organizations to
which he belonged in 1953:

American Acoustical Society, New York, New
York, 1944 to 1953 (which was the date ap-
pointee furnished the information).

American Institute of Electrical Engineering,
New York, New York, 1932 to 1953 (which was
the date appointee furnished the information).

American Physicists Society, New York, New
York, 1938 to 1953 (which was the date ap-
pointee furnished the information).

American Society of Engineering Education,
New York, New York, 1935 to 1953 (which
was the date appointee furnished the infor-
mation).

American Institute of Physics, New York, New
York, 1935 to 1953 (which was the date ap-
pointee furnished the information).

Institute of Radio Engineering, New York, New
York, 1933 to 1953 (which was the date ap-
pointee furnished the information).

American Philatelic Society, New York, New York,
1949 to 1953 (which was the date appointee
furnished the information).

Newcomes Society of Engineering, New York, New
York, 1946 to 1953 (which was the date ap-
pointee furnished the information).

Cosmos Club, Washington, D. C., 1950 to 1953
(which was the date appointee furnished
the information).

The files of [redacted] reflected that an investigation of the appointee in the Fall of 1952 reflected that a question of appointee's morals was raised in conjunction with his association with the Ordnance Research Laboratory, Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania, and a question of the appointee's integrity was also raised in the same connection. The question of integrity was disputed by testimony of additional witnesses. Nothing derogatory was developed with regard to the neighborhood. Specifically, [redacted] of unknown reliability furnished information stating that the appointee was a dynamic, efficient person who was in 1946 very temperamental. [redacted] stated appointee allowed personal feelings to enter into his business relationships to a great extent and, also, [redacted] felt that the appointee allowed his personal feelings and friendships to enter into his appointment of personnel. [redacted] thought the appointee may have been basically honest in most things but stated that this was probably only because of his fear of the effect dishonesty would have on his position rather than consideration of any moral scruples he may have.

[redacted] said there were some instances which led [redacted] to doubt the appointee's honesty, citing the following instances:

A man from the Harvard Sound Laboratory once sent the appointee a technical report and because the appointee had confidence in the man's technical ability and because he did not think he had the time to read the report the appointee instructed one of the clerks to go through the report carefully to see that no pages were stuck together before he returned it to the writer with the complimentary letter.

[redacted] said that as far as the appointee's morals were concerned the appointee did not smoke or drink but he did "like to put his arm around the girls". [redacted] said that gossip had it that the appointee had a [redacted] by the name of [redacted] whom the appointee had known at the [redacted] Fort Lauderdale, Florida. One of the appointee's assistants, by the name of NELSON PUTZ, was very friendly with [redacted] and was either engaged or else they had an understanding they were to be

b2
b7D

b7C

WFO 77-49804

married. Shortly after this became known BUTZ was transferred to the Florida Testing Station and, subsequently, [redacted] wrote him a letter telling him that she was breaking the engagement and BUTZ then committed suicide. [redacted] stated that the appointee went down to the [redacted] and got the letter that [redacted] had written to BUTZ. [redacted] stated it was not generally known at the State College that the case was a suicide. [redacted] stated that [redacted] at Fort Lauderdale, Florida, advised the appointee of the facts surrounding BUTZ's death. [redacted] stated that she believed that [redacted] still does not know BUTZ's death was a suicide and that she thought that the appointee's purpose in going down and getting her letter was to keep her from knowing of it. [redacted] said she did not know exactly what the appointee's motives were but she advised that she did not think there was anything between the appointee and [redacted].

[redacted] further stated that it was a belief, although [redacted] did not think it could be proved, that in connection with this incident the appointee took some of BUTZ's property which [redacted] did not believe he had a right to do. BUTZ had a recording machine and a very fine collection of records which he kept for his entertainment. Because he was frequently in the appointee's office he installed his machine in the office and left it there for both his and the appointee's use. After BUTZ's death [redacted] stated that the appointee sorted through the records and took and retained for himself some of the best ones. This was done before BUTZ's family could come and claim his belongings.

[redacted] said that Miss [redacted] married a man by the name of [redacted], who was an attorney practicing at State College in 1952.

[redacted] said that the office gossip also had it that the appointee had an affair with another [redacted] by the name of [redacted], who came to State College from [redacted]. [redacted] did not know too much about the facts nor could [redacted] definitely establish where this rumor started. [redacted] said that [redacted] married around 1950 and returned to [redacted] with her husband, whose name [redacted] did not know.

[redacted] said that when Miss [redacted] left her place was filled by a Mrs. [redacted] who was the wife of a student who was at that time finishing his course at State College. [redacted] said that she

b7C
b7D

b2
b7C
b7D

did not know anything about the relationship between Mrs. [redacted] and the appointee. [redacted] stated, "I don't think that he (appointee) will lie but he certainly equivocates."

b7C
ba, b7D

It was noted that the files of [redacted] reflected that Mrs. [redacted] whose husband's name was given as [redacted] could not be located through the telephone directory of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, or through a search of the postal records and efforts to locate her whereabouts were unsuccessful.

ba
b7C

The files of [redacted] reflect further that [redacted] of unknown reliability, who held a position at the Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania, advised that a young lady who was a [redacted] of the appointee registered a complaint that the appointee had been "trying to make her". [redacted] advised that the story was never verified or confirmed and did not come to his attention officially. He suggested that [redacted] would probably know more about the situation.

ba, b7D

[redacted] of unknown reliability, who holds a position at the Pennsylvania State College, State College Pennsylvania, advised that he did not know the appointee personally, but that he had become cognizant of a situation which occurred while the appointee was director of the research laboratory. [redacted] said a young lady, by the name of Mrs. [redacted] related the following information to him.

ba
b7D

Mrs. [redacted] had formerly been the appointee's [redacted] and had left this employment. Mrs. [redacted] advised, was an attractive woman who was more mature than most of those employed at the Ordnance Laboratory at the time and that from his conversation with her [redacted] was impressed by the fact that she appeared to be extremely intelligent, but [redacted] stated that she related a story which as far as he was concerned may or may not have been factually correct. She accused the appointee of "chasing her around the desks". [redacted] advised that he was interested enough in the situation to review the appointee's report of the reasons for Mrs. [redacted] separation from the Ordnance Laboratory. In his report the appointee indicated that Mrs. [redacted] was terminated because she was incompetent.

b7C, ba, b7D

b7C

ba
b7D

b7C

[redacted] stated that Mrs. [redacted] full name was Mrs. [redacted] whose last known address was, care of [redacted] Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

b7C

█ of unknown reliability who held a position at the Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania, furnished favorable information concerning the appointee with the exception of the following instances, which █ stated raised some question about the appointee's integrity.

█ said the appointee would tell one person one thing and then tell another an altogether different story either verbally or by mail. In one particular instance, a man with whom █ was familiar, who was with the Office of Naval Intelligence, had a talk with the appointee in connection with an investigation which █ believed was a security type of investigation. The appointee talked to the man and gave him certain information and then turned around and wrote a letter to some high-placed people in the Navy Department in which he complained that the investigations were unsettling his staff and generally raising a fury. This was entirely contrary to what he had told the investigator. █ said that there was quite a bit of to do about this matter and letters were sent back and forth, some of which came to █ attention, but eventually because some of the Navy "top brass" were familiar with the appointee the entire matter was quashed.

█ also stated that similar instances were mentioned to him by the individual who is carried in this report as █

SE █ determined that the files of the House Committee on Un-American Activities contained no record of the appointee.

SE █ reviewed the appointee's file at the Office of Naval Intelligence and the following information was noted: An investigation was completed on July 16, 1953, by the Potomac River Navy Command for a position of United States Navy Inspector of Ordnance, Washington, D. C., and it was noted that the investigation had developed no derogatory information except for information which came from the investigative files of █ "which may be considered derogatory".

SA █ determined that the files of the Immigration and Naturalization Service reflected, under certificate number C-4327603, ERIC ARTHUR WALKER, whose former nationality was British, was naturalized at Boston, Massachusetts, on September 27, 1937, at which time he listed his address as

b2
b7D

b7C

b2
b7C

WFO 77-49804

90 Bromfield Road, Somerville, Massachusetts. His occupation was listed as teacher and his date and place of birth as April 29, 1910, Long Eaton, England. The appointee entered the United States on September 4, 1923, at Noyes, Minnesota. His last place of foreign residence was listed as Rama, Canada. There was no information of a derogatory nature in the file.

SE [REDACTED] reviewed the records of the United States State Department, Passport Division, and the following information was noted: Passport Number 521824 was issued to the appointee on April 28, 1938, at which time he gave his permanent residence as 308 Boston Avenue, Medford, Massachusetts. The appointed stated that the countries to be visited were England, France, Germany, Czechoslovakia, Denmark and Norway, and the purpose of the trip was for pleasure. The appointee's occupation was given as teacher and included in this passport was the appointee's wife [REDACTED] who was born in [REDACTED], on [REDACTED].

The file further reflected that the appointee was issued Passport Number 143251 on October 10, 1946, for the purpose of business for one month, or less, to England. The file contained a photostat copy of a letter dated August 30, 1946, from Captain [REDACTED], Bureau of Ordnance, Navy Department, Washington, D. C., to the Ordnance Laboratory, Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania, Attention: [REDACTED], Business Manager. This letter gave approval to the request that a properly qualified employee of the Ordnance Research Laboratory be sent to England and continental Europe. It further relates that the Joint Chief of Staff set up a project called "Operation Paper Clip" for the purpose of obtaining German and Austrian scientists for exploration in the United States.

The file also contained a letter dated September 9, 1946, from the appointee to the Passport Division requesting a passport to visit the United Kingdom for the purpose of interviewing certain German scientists concerning matters in which the Ordnance Research Laboratory, a contractor for Bureau of Ordnance, United States Navy, is interested. The appointee also stated in this letter that he intended to visit the British Naval Torpedo Station under arrangements which will be made by the British Admiralty Delegation.

WFO 77-49804

Written in red pencil on the above letter is the statement that one Miss [REDACTED] of the Navy Department stated that the appointee was not going on official business.

SA [REDACTED] determined that the files of the State Department, Security System, contained no record of the appointee.

SE [REDACTED] and SE [REDACTED] determined that the records of the Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D. C., were negative concerning the appointee and his family; at all times an indefinite number of unidentifiable records being out of file and not available for review.

SE [REDACTED] determined that the files of the United States Park Police were negative concerning appointee and his family.

SE [REDACTED] determined that the files of the Washington, D. C. Credit Bureau contained no record for the appointee or his family.

b7c